

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 56885-CIP3-WO(47137)	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/IB2006/004236	International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 25 October 2006 (25.10.2006)	Priority date (<i>day/month/year</i>)
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant CELLECTRICON AB		

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).																								
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 9 sheets, including this cover sheet. In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.																								
3.	<p>This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 10%; text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="width: 30%;">Box No. I</td> <td style="width: 60%;">Basis of the report</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. II</td> <td>Priority</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. III</td> <td>Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. IV</td> <td>Lack of unity of invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. V</td> <td>Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. VI</td> <td>Certain documents cited</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. VII</td> <td>Certain defects in the international application</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. VIII</td> <td>Certain observations on the international application</td> </tr> </table>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I	Basis of the report	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II	Priority	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I	Basis of the report																							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II	Priority																							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability																							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention																							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement																							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited																							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application																							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application																							
4.	The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).																								

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Date of issuance of this report 28 April 2009 (28.04.2009)
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	Authorized officer <div style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; font-size: 1.2em;">Cecile Chatel</div>
e-mail: ro.ib@wipo.int	

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

CONLIN, David G
Edwards Angell Palmer & Dodge
LLP
P.O. Box 55874
Boston, Massachusetts 02205
USA

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year)

03 -07- 2008

Applicant's or agent's file reference

56885-CIP3-WO

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

PCT/IB2006/004236

International filing date (day/month/year)

25-10-2006

Priority date (day/month/year)

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

See Supplemental Box

Applicant

Cellecrtion AB et al

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☒ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further opinions, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/SE
Patent- och registreringsverket
Box 5055
S-102 42 STOCKHOLM

Facsimile No. +46 8 667 72 88

Authorized officer

Ida Christensen/PR

Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IB2006/004236

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: Cover sheet

International patent classification (IPC)

G01N33/487(2006.01)

B81B7/02(2006.01)

G01N27/00(2006.01)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IB2006/004236

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
☒ the international application in the language in which it was filed
☐ a translation of the international application into _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2. ☐ This opinion has been established taking into account the **rectification of an obvious mistake** authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a)).
3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material
☐ a sequence listing
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material
☐ on paper
☐ in electronic form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing
☐ contained in the international application as filed.
☐ filed together with the international application in electronic form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
4. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
5. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IB2006/004236

Box No. II Priority

1. ☐ The validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority does not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where required, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.
2. ☒ This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.

3. Additional observations, if necessary:

The priority claim is considered not to have been made because the international application has an international filing date which is later than the date on which the priority period expired. It is also later than the period of two months from the date according to the request for restoration of right to priority. See PCT Rule 26bis.2. See also PCT Form PCT/RO/111 which was sent to the applicant from the International Bureau on 21 May 2008.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IB2006/004236

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	61, 67-69	YES
	Claims	1-60, 62-66, 70-73	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	1-73	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-73	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations:

The present application relates to microfluidic systems and methods for altering the solution environment around a nanoscopic or microscopic object, such as a sensor, and methods for modulating or studying receptors. According to the Applicant, traditional patch-clamp methods for measuring ion channel activity in cells have not been the methods of choice for developing high-throughput screening (HTS) platforms, since these methods lack the ability to introduce test compounds onto cells in a controlled, rapid and parallel fashion. The solution to this problem as presented in this application includes applying minimised time intervals between sample deliveries, e.g. on the order of microseconds and seconds, which permits rapid analysis of compounds, e.g. drugs.

The following relevant document is cited in the international search report:

D1: WO2006074350 A2

In document D1, the same problem regarding patch-clamp methods is described as in the present application and the same solution of rapidly switching the solution environment is disclosed. See page 2, lines 10-16.

D1 discloses microfluidic systems and methods that can be applied in any sensor technology in which the sensing element needs to be exposed rapidly, sequentially, and controllably, to a large number of different solution environments whose characteristics may be known or unknown.

.../...

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.
Continuation of: BOX V

In contrast to prior art microfluidic systems, the interval between sample deliveries is minimized, e.g., on the order of microseconds and seconds, permitting rapid analysis of compounds (e.g., drugs). The system and methods may be used for modulating, controlling, preparing or studying receptors.

The system in D1 comprises a substrate for changing a solution environment around a sensor, the substrate comprising a plurality of channels, each channel comprising an outlet; and a scanning mechanism for selectively exposing a sensor to a fluid stream from an outlet, wherein each of the channels delivers a fluid stream into the open volume chamber. Alternatively, the system comprises an open-volume chamber for receiving a sensor; and a plurality of channels, each channel comprising an outlet for delivering a substantially separate fluid stream into the chamber, wherein each of the channels delivers a fluid stream into the open volume chamber. See page 2, line 19 - page 3, line 5, claims 1, 13 and 19.

The plurality of sample delivery channels intersect with a first channel which is also connected to a buffer reservoir and to a chamber for receiving a sensor. Rapid flow of solution through the first channel and/or sample channels can be achieved through a positive pressure mechanism in communication with the buffer reservoir and/or sample channels. See page 10, lines 18-26.

The plurality of sample channels may intersect with a central "spine" channel which feeds sample into the sensor chamber, see figures 14 and 15 and page 23, lines 7-18. This embodiment including a central channel is considered to correspond to the delivery channel according to claim 1 of the present application.

The system comprises a mechanism for holding a sensor, which is coupled or connected to a positioner for positioning the sensor in proximity to an outlet of a channel (page 3, lines 22-24, page 4, lines 1-2). The mechanism may be a patch clamp pipette, a capillary or a hollow electrode (page 28, lines 23-27).

.../...

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IB2006/004236

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.
Continuation of: BOX V

The sensor may be a cell, such as a patch-clamped cell, and the cell may comprise an ion channel, such as a G-protein coupled receptor, which is to be studied (claims 27, 32 and 33). Fluorescence or electrochemistry may be used in the method of detection (page 32, lines 27-33).

The sample applied to the sensor may comprise drug candidates, such as agonists or antagonists (claims 29-31).

Thus, the subject-matter of claims 1-4, 31-35, 44-46 and 60 lacks novelty and inventive step.

The system comprises a mechanism for varying pressure across channels. See page 3, lines 16-19, page 4, lines 8-10 and claim 23. The sensor is scanned sequentially across the at least two aqueous fluid streams, thereby altering the aqueous solution environment around the object. Scanning can be mediated by pressure drops applied to the channels (page 15, line 32 - page 16, line 2).

Thus, the subject-matter of claims 5-9, 30, 36-39, 47 and 64 lacks novelty and inventive step.

Further, the subject-matter of claim 62 may lack novelty in view of the above described technical features. The claim definitely lacks inventive step.

The patch-clamped cell may be positioned relative to the delivery channel outlets using a patch clamp pipette coupled or connected to a positioner (claim 28).

The subject-matter of claims 10, 11, 41, 42 and 63 is therefore considered to lack novelty. Inventive step is definitely lacking for the embodiments according to claims 10, 11, 41, 42 and 63, since they are obvious to a person skilled in the art.

Among voltage clamp techniques, patch clamp is most suitable for measuring currents in the pA range. The low noise property of patch clamp is achieved by tightly sealing a glass microelectrode or patch clamp pipette onto the plasma membrane of an intact cell thereby producing an isolated patch.

.../...

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IB2006/004236

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: BOX V

The resistance between the pipette and the plasma membrane is critical to minimize background noise and should be in excess of 1×10^9 ohm (1 giga-ohm) to form a "giga seal" (page 62, line 28 - page 63, line 2 and claim 37).

Therefore, the subject-matter of claims 12, 13, 40 and 43 lacks novelty.

Further, the subject-matter of claims 14-26 lacks novelty in view of what is disclosed in claims 2-19 of D1.

The method in D1 may be used for studying the memory properties of a receptor. The memory functions may be short-term, medium-term, or long-term memory functions. The effects of a drug on memory properties of a biosensor may be studied. See claims 20-22.

The subject-matter of claims 27-29 thus lacks novelty and inventive step.

The system described in D1 is a microfluidic device, and comprise microchannels and other components which are microscale-sized. See e.g. page 79, lines 3-4.

Therefore, the subject-matter of claims 48-59 probably lacks novelty. Inventive step is definitely lacking for said claims.

The method in D1 comprises rapidly changing the solution environment around a sensor. Fluid exchange may occur within less than a minute, such as so rapidly as within milliseconds or nanoseconds. See page 16, line 28 - page 17, line 2.

Therefore, the subject-matter of claims 65, 66, 70-73 lacks novelty and inventive step.

The subject-matter of claims 61, 67-69 is not specifically disclosed by document D1. However, the embodiments of said claims are considered to be obvious to a person skilled in the art and therefore lack inventive step.

The subject-matter of claims 1-73 fulfils the requirement of industrial applicability.